Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEREK RUSSELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SONOMA,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-01355-KAW

ORDER TO OPEN A NEW CASE

Re: Dkt. No. 3

On March 24, 2015, Plaintiff Derek Russell filed both a Complaint (Dkt. No. 1) and a Notice of Removal (Dkt. No. 3) in an apparent attempt to remove a traffic citation from state court and to file a lawsuit alleging federal claims against Superior Court of California, County of Sonoma. Both initiating documents were improperly filed in the above-captioned case, as only one initiating document may be filed per case. The filing fee of \$400.00 paid by Mr. Russell on March 24, 2015 applies to the Complaint, not the Notice of Removal in the state court case.

Therefore, the Court orders the Clerk's Office to open a new case with the Notice of Removal (Dkt. No. 3) as the initiating document, and assign the case to the undersigned. The Court notes that, upon review, Mr. Russell has impermissibly removed his traffic citation from state court, because a party cannot remove a case based on a defense—in this case, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692. See Caterpillar Inc. v. Williams, 482 U.S. 386, 393 (1987) ("[A] case may not be removed to federal court on the basis of a federal defense . . . , even if the defense is anticipated in the plaintiff's complaint . . . "). ¹ As a result, the new case will

Additionally, Mr. Russell erroneously cites 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) as a basis for removal, which is removal based on diversity of citizenship. Not only is the citizenship of the parties not diverse, but Mr. Russell is a citizen of California, and, as a defendant in the state court action, is not permitted to remove the case to federal court based on diversity of citizenship. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b)(2) (case

Case 3:15-cv-01604-RS Document 1 Filed 04/08/15 Page 2 of 3

Northern District of California United States District Court

ultimately be remanded to state court.

If Mr. Russell, nevertheless, wishes to remove the traffic citation matter to federal court, he is ordered to pay the filing fee of \$400.00 on or before April 24, 2015 for the case opened with the Notice of Removal. Failure to pay the filing fee by that date will result in the dismissal of the action. Should Mr. Russell decide that he no longer wishes to pursue the action initiated by the Notice of Removal, he may file a notice of voluntary dismissal once a case number is assigned, which will relieve him of his obligation to pay the additional \$400.00 filing fee.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 7, 2015

United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEREK RUSSELL,	Case Number: CV15-01355 KAW
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
v.	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA et al,	
Defendant/	

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on April 8, 2015, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Derek Russell 735 Pine Street Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Dated: April 8, 2015

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

Lucian Dubriani

By: Susan Imbriani, Deputy Clerk